

Docket No.: 204060US0PCT

OCT 1 0 2003

TC 1700

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER
&
NEUSTADT
P.C.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 09/763,864 Applicants: Masahide SATO, et al.

Filing Date: May 23, 2001

For: METHOD OF MAKING FERMENTATION

PRODUCT Group Art Unit: 1761

Examiner: Curtis Sherrer

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## Election & Response to Election of Species/Lack of Unity Requirement

Our check in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Norman F. Oblon

Registration No. 24,618

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) Thomas M. Cunningham Registration No. 45,394

DOCKET NO: 204060US0PCT TRADE A TRADE A TRADE A TRADE

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

:

MASAHIDE SATO, ET AL.

: EXAMINER: CURTIS SHERRER

SERIAL NO: 09/763,864

:

FILED: MAY 23, 2001

: GROUP ART UNIT: 1761

FOR: METHOD OF MAKING FERMENTATION PRODUCT

ELECTION & RESPONSE TO ELECTION OF SPECIES/LACK OF UNITY REQUIREMENT

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RECEIVED

OCT 1 0 2003

SIR:

TC 1700

In response to the Lack of Unity/Election of Species Requirement mailed September 8, 2003, the Applicants elect with traverse the following species:

carrier:

chitosan

final product:

malt alcoholic beverage

bioreactor:

fluidized bed.

The traverse is on the basis that the Office has not established that the claimed methods and products lack unity of invention and are not so linked as to form a single inventive concept. Moreover, the Applicants respectfully submit that no undue burden would be imposed on the Office in the examination of the different species together.

In the event that the requirement is maintained, the Applicants understand that upon an indication of allowability for a generic claim that claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include the limitations of the allowed generic claim will be examined.

Application No. 09/763,864 Reply to Office Action of September 8, 2003

Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request that the lack of unity/election of species requirement be withdrawn and all the claims be examined together.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/03) Norman F. Oblon Attorney of Record Registration No. 24,618

Thomas M. Cunningham Registration No. 45,394